IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.45825 of 2008
ANIL KUMAR
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 17.12.2008 Heard Mr. Rajeev Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Dashrath Mehta, learned A.P.P.

The petitioner, an advocate practicing at civil court, Hilsa, is in custody in a case under section 307, 379 etc. of the Indian Penal Code on the allegation that he was demanding Rs.50,000/- as rangdari for allowing the informant to take possession of his property.

By drawing attention of the Court to two annexures, i.e., Annexures- 2 and 3, it has been contended that the petitioner's father had two more brothers and there was a amicable partition and the land along with some parts of the house situated over 13'-10" from east to west on the northern land of the boundary and 11'-10" on the southern land of the boundary in the middle of the plot is the father of the petitioner and a part of 6' was left just to west of that particular piece plot, but the informant has purchased the land in excess to the property which could have been allotted to his vendor and has filed a wrong and false case.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Regard being had to the facts of the case and the contention, let petitioner named above be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.3,000/-(Three thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the A.C.J.M., Hilsa(Nalanda), in connection with Islampur P.S. case no.139 of 2008.

(Dharnidhar Jha,J)

sudip